## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SMARTFLASH LLC, et al.,	§	
DI 1 (100	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	CASE NO. 6:13cv447-JRG-KNM
<b>v.</b>	§ §	
••	8 §	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
APPLE INC., et al.,	§	
, ,	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
SMARTFLASH LLC, et al.,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§ §	CASE NO. 6:13cv448-JRG-KNM
v.	8 §	CASE NO. 0.13CV440-JRG-RIVIVI
•	\$ §	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	§	
et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

## <u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court are Apple's and Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-infringement (6:13CV447, Doc. Nos. 271 & 272; 6:13CV448, Doc. No. 322) and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (6:13CV447, Doc. No. 390; 6:13CV448; Doc. No. 436) recommending that the Motions be denied. Having considered Defendants' Objections to the Report and Recommendation Regarding Apple's and Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-infringement (6:13CV447, Doc. No. 415, 6:13CV448, Doc. No. 480), and having conducted a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation as to which objection was made, and finding no error therein, the Court does hereby adopt the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Apple's and Defendants' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-infringement (6:13CV447, Doc. Nos. 271 & 272; 6:13CV448, Doc. No. 322) are DENIED.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 13th day of February, 2015.

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE